Exploring the Factors Associated with Needle Stick Injuries Among Healthcare Workers at Tertiary Care Hospital

Bushra Ijaz,¹ Uzma Arshad,² Mehreen Bukhari,³ Asiya Abbas,⁴ Rashida Anjum⁵

Abstract

Objective: To determine the frequency of Needle stick injuries (NSIs) and their associated factors among health care workers (HCWs).

Material and Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among HCWs who were working at Nishtar Hospital Multan from 19-02-2023 to 1-12-2023. After approval from the institutional ethical committee, data was collected by face-to-face interviews with one hundred and ninety-five study participants selected through a non-probability convenient sampling technique. Data analysis, including descriptive and analytical statistics was carried out using SPSS Version 26.

Results: Out of 195 study participants, the frequency of NSI was 129 (66.2%), 187 (95.9%) had awareness of NSI. The most common factor responsible for NSI was work overload (26.7%). The majority of the participants (65.6%) did not attend any needle safety prevention workshop in the past six months. Practicing in medicine and surgery wards, not wearing a pair of gloves, the time of injury and not attending any workshop were statistically significant factors associated with NSI among HCWs.

Conclusion: In our study, the frequency of NSI was high among HCWs. It is critical to address this issue and train the HCWs by setting up regular training sessions for them due to their poor practices of safety devices and personal protective equipment, inadequate post-exposure response, underreporting response, and lack of awareness of these hazards.

Keywords: Needle stick injury, Risk factors, Healthcare workers, Tertiary care hospital.

How to cite: Ijaz B, Arshad U, Bukhari M, Abbas A, Anjum R. Exploring the Factors Associated with Needle Stick Injuries Among Healthcare Workers at Tertiary Care Hospital. Esculapio - JSIMS 2024;20(03): 398-402 DOI: https://doi.org/10.51273/esc24.251320321

Introduction

In hospitals and other medical facilities, sharp injuries are common and can have serious consequences.¹ Needle stick injuries (NSIs) are inadvertent bodily piercings caused by contaminated instruments including needles, ampoules, and lancets used in healthcare settings.²

According to the World Health Organization, annually

1,4,5. Nishtar Medical U	University Mutan
--------------------------	------------------

2. Multan Medical and Dental College

3. Gujranwala Medical college

Correspondence:

Dr. Bushra Ijaz . Assistant Professor, Nishtar Medical University Mutan, E-mail. drbushraijaz@hotmail.com

Submission Date:	24-06-2024
1st Revision Date:	14-07-2024
Acceptance Date:	04-09-2024

3 million healthcare workers (HCWs) worldwide are estimated to be exposed to blood-borne viruses. This led to the development of HBV in 2 million, HCV and HIV in 900,000 and 170,000 HCWs respectively, with the vast majority of incidents (90%) occurring in developing nations.³

NSIs besides physical sufferings also cause psychological anguish, dread, tension, and worry in HCWs. This leads to an increase in absences from work and has a direct detrimental impact on health care services.⁴ In addition to this, the cost of their medical care, blood tests, and lost workdays places a heavy burden on the health care system.⁵ It has been estimated that the cost of each NSI case to the healthcare system is between 650 and 750 USD, including direct and indirect costs.⁶ Many factors enhance the risk of needle stick injury.

The majority of the time, healthcare staff's flagrant negligence and dangerous practices are the reasons for needle stick injuries. According to experts, no single safety policy can be relied upon to be effective in all circumstances.² Moreover the risk of infection to the employee following NSIs depends on the blood-borne pathogens implicated, the degree of the injury, the employee's immune system, and the use of appropriate and effective prophylaxis after injury.⁷

Currently, there is a paucity of data regarding the true estimates of needle stick injuries, making it difficult to assess the true scope of the issue in Pakistan. So far studies conducted in Pakistan show the variability of prevalence from 37.5% to 71.6%.^{89,10} Therefore it is vital to comprehensively identify and understand all relevant factors that are playing a key role in its prevalence including those that may be undercounted or underestimated. NSI preventative guidelines and healthcare curricula will be more effectively structured if the gaps in knowledge and the differences between knowledge and practice are recognized. This study will help in identifying areas for practice improvement and keeping these variables in consideration can help in developing effective preventive strategies for healthcare workers.

Material and Methods

After institutional review board permission, this descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in Nishtar hospital Multan. After the taking approval from ethical committee IRB No 4548 dated 25-02-2023. Our study population comprised doctors who were included in patient care and willing to parti-cipate working as house officers, postgraduate residents and consultants in the Medicine, Surgery, Gynecological & Obstetric and Pediatric departments. Those who were in administrative posts in these departments and not willing to participate were excluded from our study. The calculated sample size was 195 with a 95% confidence level, a margin of error d=7% and prevalence $p=55\%^{11}$ which was calculated by the following formula $n=z^2 pq/d^2$. Data was collected through non-probability convenient sampling technique. Confidentiality was guaranteed and informed verbal consent was obtained. A self-designed questionnaire with closed-ended questions was used for in-person interviews. The first part of the questionnaire comprised of participant's demographic information including age and gender and the next part includes questions related to the circumstances surrounding needle stick injuries, risk factors for NSI,

prior knowledge from any training in preventing needle injuries, pre-exposure immunization status, post-exposure response: reporting needle stick injuries and following protocol in case of injury. Data was analyzed by using IBM SPSS statistics 26. The result was presented in the form of tables. A Chi-square test was performed to assess the association of factors with needle stick injuries. A p value of <0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

Results

In our study, the frequency of needle stick injury was 66.2%. The majority of the study participants were female. Most of the participants were in the age group 20 to 25 years. Half of the research participants were aware of universal precautionary guidelines and 95.9% of the participants were aware of needle stick injury. 61.5% of participants with a history of needle stick injury reported washing immediately with soap and water. Most common cause of needle stick injury was work overload and 66.6% of injuries occurred during the day time. The details of the factors are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of study participants

Variables	Frequency	Percentages
Gender		
Male	83	42.6%
Female	112	57.4%
Age		
20-25 years	97	49.7%
26-30 years	72	36.9%
31-35 years	13	6.7%
36-40 years	7	3.6%
Above 40 years	6	3.1%
Category		
House Officer	113	57.9%
PGR	61	31.3%
Senior Resident	7	3.6%
Consultant	14	7.2%
Ward of Practice		
Medicine	72	36.9%
Surgery	56	28.7%
Obs and Gynae	38	19.5%
Paediatrics	29	14.9%
Aware Of needle stick injury		
Yes	187	95.9%
No	8	4.1%

precaution guidelines Yes 115 58.97% No 80 41.02% Fully vaccinated against Hepatitis B	Knowledge about universal		
Yes 115 58.97% No 80 41.02% Fully vaccinated against Hepatitis B Yes 147 75.4% No 48 24.6% Vears of work practice Hepatitis B 24 Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months 128 65.6% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year	precaution guidelines		
No 80 41.02% Fully vaccinated against Hepatitis B Yes 147 75.4% No 48 24.6% Vears of work practice 1 Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months 24 12.3% Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 5 5 Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% 6 Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 52 40.31% Sleepiness / tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% Blood test sampling 3 3.3% Causative of injury 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% Blood test sampling 3 3.3% Causative of injury<	Yes	115	58.97%
Fully vaccinated against Hepatitis B Yes 147 75.4% No 48 24.6% Vears of work practice 24 2.1% Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months 7 34.4% No 128 65.6% No 129 66.2% No 129 66.2% No 63 3.8% Causative factor for needle 33.8% Causative factor for needle 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 33.3% Yes 79 61.24%	No	80	41.02%
Hepatitis B Yes 147 75.4% No 48 24.6% Vears of work practice 24 21.3% Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about 24 12.3% needle stick injury within 56.6% 128 More than 5 years 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year - - Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% - Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) - - Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness / tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% Bood test sampling 2 1.55% No 30 38.7% Time of Injury 12 62.1%	Fully vaccinated against		
Yes 147 75.4% No 48 24.6% Vears of work practice 1 Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about 128 65.6% More than 5 years 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the 52 66 previous 1 year 2 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle 52 40.31% Stick injury (n=129) 7 5.42% No 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% Steepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% No 50 38.7% Out get sampling 2 1.55% Steepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% <	Hepatitis B		
No 48 24.6% Years of work practice 1.1 Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months 128 65.6% Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 128 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 8.37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 33.3% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 2 2.2.5% No 86 66.6% Night 43<	Yes	147	75.4%
Years of work practice 21.0% Years of work practice 21.0% Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months 128 65.6% Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 50 66 Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 50 38.7% Yes 79 61.24% No No 50 38.7% 50 Jagen and water 2 1.55% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 20 20 <	No	48	24.6%
Less than 6 months 82 42.1% 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within nomoths Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the revious 1 year revious 1 year Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water yea Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury U 20 Pay 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury Superficial 8 6.2% Deep <td< td=""><td>Voors of work practice</td><td></td><td>, .</td></td<>	Voors of work practice		, .
Less main o monuls 62 42.176 1-5 years 89 45.6% More than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about 12.8 65.6% Needle stick injury within 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the 128 65.6% Previous 1 year 7 54.4% Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 8 Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 33.3% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 2 20.1% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 2 20.1% Did you receive medical attenti	Less than 6 months	82	42 104
Nore than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about		80	42.170
Aviore than 5 years 24 12.3% Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within	More than 5 years	09	43.070
Attended any workshop about needle stick injury within months Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the 128 65.6% previous 1 year 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle 52 40.31% Stick injury (n=129) 7 5.42% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 2 2.25% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 2 2.25% Did you receive medical attentic 87 67.44% Did you receive medical attentic 87 67.44% No 87 67.44	More than 5 years	24	12.3%
needle stick injury within months 9 Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 128 65.6% Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 50 38.7% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 2 2.2% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 3.3.3% Nature Of injury 2 2.1% Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1%	Attended any workshop about		
Months 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 56.6% Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 66.2% Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 50 38.7% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury to health authorities 87 67.44% No 87 67.44% 121 No 87 67.44%	needle stick injury within		
Yes 67 34.4% No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 129 66.2% Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 50 Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 50 38.7% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 50 38.7% 50 50 38.7% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury to needle attentic after needle stick injury to needle attentic after needle stick injury to needle st	months		
No 128 65.6% Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) 38.8% Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water 38.7% Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 20 20.2% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 20 20.1% Did you receive medical attentio after needle stick injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% No 87 67.44% No 87 67.44% No 87 67.44% No 34	Yes	67	34.4%
Needle stick injury from the previous 1 year Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Eme of Injury 2 1.25% Mature Of injury 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 2 2.1% Did you receive medical attentio 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% No 87 67.44% No	No	128	65.6%
previous 1 year Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129)	Needle stick injury from the		
Yes 129 66.2% No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 7 5.42% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 7 5.24% Did you receive medical attentio 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentio 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities 5% Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	previous 1 year		
No 66 33.8% Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129)	Yes	129	66.2%
Causative factor for needle stick injury (n=129) Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentio after needle stick injury to Report needle stick injury to No 87 67.44% No 84 26.35% No 95 73.64%	No	66	33.8%
stick injury (n=129) Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water	Causative factor for needle		
Recapping 48 37.20% Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness /tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water	stick injury (n=129)		
Workload 52 40.31% Sleepiness / tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did 2 1.55% you wash immediately with 2 1.55% soap and water	Recapping	48	37.20%
Sleepiness / tiredness 7 5.42% During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water	Workload	52	40.31%
During surgery 20 8.75% Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	Sleepiness /tiredness	7	5.42%
Blood test sampling 2 1.55% After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentio after needle stick injury 87 67.44% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	During surgery	20	8.75%
After Needle Stick injury did you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentio 87 67.44% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to 87 67.44% Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	Blood test sampling	2	1.55%
you wash immediately with soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	After Needle Stick injury did		
soap and water Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 50 38.7% Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic 31 67.4% After needle stick injury to 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to 87 67.44% Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	vou wash immediately with		
Yes 79 61.24% No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 50 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury 8 6.2% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities 87 67.44% Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	soap and water		
No 50 38.7% Time of Injury 38.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic 31.24% after needle stick injury 2 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities 2 32.55% No 95 73.64%	Yes	79	61 24%
No 30 36.7% Time of Injury 50 36.7% Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64% 10.35%	No	50	38 7%
Day 86 66.6% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 8 6.2% Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to 67.44% Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	Time of Internet	50	56.770
Day 80 60.0% Night 43 33.3% Nature Of injury 50 50 Superficial 8 6.2% Deep 121 62.1% Did you receive medical attentic 62.1% after needle stick injury 7 Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities 7 Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	Dev	96	66 60/
Night4333.3%Nature Of injury12133.3%Superficial86.2%Deep12162.1%Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury121Yes4232.55%No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Day	80	00.0%
Nature Of injurySuperficial86.2%Deep12162.1%Did you receive medical attenticafter needle stick injuryYes4232.55%No8767.44%Report needle stick injury tohealth authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Night	43	33.3%
Superficial86.2%Deep12162.1%Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injury2Yes4232.55%No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Nature Of injury		
Deep12162.1%Did you receive medical attentic after needle stick injuryYes4232.55%No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Superficial	8	6.2%
Did you receive medical attentioafter needle stick injuryYes42No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Deep	121	62.1%
after needle stick injuryYes4232.55%No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes3426.35%No9573.64%	Did you receive medical attentio		
Yes 42 32.55% No 87 67.44% Report needle stick injury to health authorities Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	after needle stick injury		
No8767.44%Report needle stick injury to health authorities26.35%Yes3426.35%No9573.64%	Yes	42	32.55%
Report needle stick injury to health authoritiesYes34Yos9573.64%	No	87	67.44%
health authorities Yes 34 26.35% No 95 73.64%	Report needle stick injury to		
Yes3426.35%No9573.64%	health authorities		
No 95 73.64%	Yes	34	26.35%
	No	95	73.64%

 Table 2: Association of factors with needle stick injury

Factors	Needle sti	ick injury	p-
	Yes	No	value
Age			
20-35 years	120	62	0.700
36-40 years and above	9	4	
Gender			
Male	60	23	0.119
Female	69	43	
Attended workshop about NSI			
Yes	38	29	0.044
No	91	37	
Ward of practice			
Medicine	52	20	
Surgery	29	27	0.018
Gynecological and obstetrics	24	14	
Paediatrics	24	5	
Time of injury			
Day	83	3	0.000
Night	46	1	
Wearing a pair of gloves			
Yes	37	29	0.05
No	92	37	

Further analysis after applying the chi-square test revealed that needle-stick injury was significantly associated with prior safety workshops on needle prevention and the type of ward where participants were practicing. Statistical significant association of needle stick injury with daytime and not a wearing pair of gloves was also noted (table- II)

Discussion

NSIs are a significant and ongoing source of exposure for healthcare workers to dangerous and occasionally fatal diseases. Our study highlighted that the overall frequency of needle stick injury among healthcare workers in the previous 12 months was 66.2%. The findings are parallel to the work conducted in teaching hospitals in Iraq (53.8%) and the research reported by Getaw (60.2%).^{12,13} However our results were inconsistent with the study conducted by Zemene et al (11.57%) and in India (20.1%).^{14,15} This variation could be explained by differences in how standard operating procedures are used, occupational health and safety systems, policy availability and execution, inadequate NSI management, and unsafe work settings.¹¹

In the current research, two-thirds of study participants

were aware of needle stick injury and 43.6% of participants did not report needle stick injury to a higher authority, one of the studies carried out in Saudi Arabia revealed that 94.7% had knowledge of needle stick injury but 52.7% (48/91) did not report their injuries.¹⁶ Another finding from Alsabaani and colleagues has also found that 47.3% % of healthcare workers did not report their injuries.¹⁷

Another important observation of our study is that work overload is the main risk factor for needle stick injury. This contrasts with the research conducted by Zemeene et al where sleep at work was reported as the most common risk factor.¹⁴ Research conducted in another tertiary care hospital in Pakistan reported that injecting medicine and drawing blood (42%) was mostly a reason for needle stick injury.¹⁸ The various risk factors for needle stick injuries among healthcare workers in different research studies can be attributed to variations in work settings, overloaded hospitals and access to appropriate safety equipment.

It was noted by our study that most of the participants (65.6%) with needle stick injuries did not attend any workshop in the last 12 months. The interventional study has found that sensitization for the prevention and management of NSIs are essential in preventing occupational hazards and contributed to a significant improvement in the level of knowledge and practice regarding needle stick and sharp injuries.¹⁹ Studies conducted in Ethiopia also supported our work where participants who had no training on safety and health were more significantly associated with needle stick injury.^{20,21}

In the present research, day time duty was significantly associated with needle stick injury. Likewise a study conducted by Kifah et al and in Iran reported that 68% and 97.2% of needle stick injury occur during the morning shift. Hadis and colleagues reported that the possible reason for the increasing prevalence of needle stick injury in the morning hours would be that the majority of patients found it feasible to visit hospitals during these hours.^{22,23}

Our research indicated the majority of participants do not have habits of wearing a pair of gloves (66.53%). Mehdi et a in his study showed that the 79.3% of study participants stated that wearing gloves is not necessary.²⁴ This is comparable to the study conducted in Turkey where 36.9% of healthcare workers had not been wearing gloves at the time of the incident.²⁵ The variations in wearing gloves among healthcare workers in different settings before needle stick injuries may be influenced by factors such as the availability of gloves, compliance with safety protocols, and individual perceptions of risk.

Conclusion

In our study, it was found that NSI is still one of the major problems among health care providers. The most effective way to reduce its incidence is by implementing a thorough plan that tackles the institutional, behavioral, and device-related factors that influence the occurrence of NSIs. Developing an active surveillance system, assessing, and using needle devices with safety features are essential components of this effort and continuing training initiatives must be a part of any preventive strategies of hospitals.

Source of Funding:	None
--------------------	------

Conflict of Interest: None

References

- 1. Alamneh YM, Wondifraw AZ, Negesse A, Ketema DB, Akalu TY. The prevalence of occupational injury and its associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Occup Med Toxicol. 2020 Dec; 15(1):14. doi.org/10.1186/s12995-020-00265-0.
- 2. Hassanipour S, Sepandi M, Tavakkol R, Jabbari M, Rabiei H, Malakoutikhah M et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of needlestick injuries among healthcare workers in Iran: a systematic reviews and meta-analysis. Environ Health Prev Med. 2021 Dec;26(1):43. doi: 10.1186/s12199-021-00965-x.
- 3. Sedigh M, Zarinfar N, Khorsandi M, Sadeghi Sadeh B. Using of health belief model on needlestick injuries and bloodborne pathogens among nurses. J Environ H e a l t h . 2019 Jan 10;9(1):29-36. doi:10.29252/jrh.9.1.29
- 4. Hambridge K, Endacott R, Nichols A. Exploring the psychological effects of sharps injuries sustained by healthcare workers. Nurs stand . 2022 Jan 2;83(1):1-7. doi: 10.7748/ns.2022.e11785.
- 5. Zhang L, Ai Y, Liu J, Yue N, Xuan J, Bal V, et al. Economic burden of needlestick injuries among healthcare workers in China. J Med Econ. 2020 Apr 9; 23(7):683 -689. doi: 10.1080/13696998.2020.1737534.
- Mannocci A, De Carli G, Di Bari V, Saulle R, Unim B, Nicolotti N, et al. How much do needlestick injuries cost? A systematic review of the economic evaluations of needlestick and sharps injuries among healthcare personnel. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016 Jun; 37(6):635-46. doi: 10.1017/ice.2016.48.
- King KC, Strony R. Needlestick [internet].Nih gov. Statpearls publishing; 2023 [cited 2024 Aug27]. Avail- able from: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/books/NBK 493147.

- Rehman R, Gul R, Noureen S, Rehman ZU, Musa N, Alam A. Frequency of needle stick injuries (NSI) among health care workers of private sector hospitals in Peshawar. Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020 Apr 21; 28(1):46-9.https://jmedsci.com/index.php /Jmedsci/article/view/881.
- 9. Musroor R, Saleem S. Prevalence and perception of needle stick injury among health care professionals at a tertiary care hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. Am J Infect Control. 2020 Aug 1; 48(8):S31.doi:10.1016/j.ajic. 2020.06.200.
- Afzal MF, Ayub Z, Afzal MN, Hanif A. Needlestick Injuries among Healthcare Workers. JSMDC. 2019; 5(1):20-3. https://www.researchgate. net/publication /340437036.
- Khushdil A, Farrukh H, Sabir MD, Awan T Qureshi T. Needle stick injuries in nurses at a tertiary health care facility. J Postgrad Med Inst. 2013; 27(4):381-6. https://jpmi.org.pk/index.php/jpmi/article/view/141 4.
- 12. Al-Khalidi GZ, Nasir NA. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding needle stick injuries among health care workers in Baghdad Teaching Hospital and Ghazy Al-Hariri Hospital for Surgical Specialties in 2020. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2022 Jul 2;10(E):1-7.

doi: https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2022.9963.

- 13. Bazie GW. Factors associated with needle stick and sharp injuries among healthcare workers in North East Ethiopia. Risk Manag. Healthc. Policy 2020; 13:2449-56. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S284049.
- Berhan Z, Malede A, Gizeyatu A, Sisay T, Lingerew M, Kloos H, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of needle stick and sharps injuries among healthcare wor- kers in northwestern Ethiopia. Plos one. 2021 Sep 24; 16(9):e0252039. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0252039.
- 15. Rajpal S, Garg SK, Bano T, Singh G. Prevalence of needle stick injuries among health care workers of various hospitals: a cross sectional study in an urban district of North India .IJCMPH . 2021;8:1976-9.doi: https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph 20211265
- Al-Mugheed K, Farghaly SM, Baghdadi NA, Oweidat I, Alzoubi MM. Incidence, knowledge, attitude and practice toward needle stick injury among nursing students in Saudi Arabia. Public Health Front.2023 May 4;11:1160680. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1160680.
- 17. Alsabaani A, Alqahtani NS, Alqahtani SS, Al-Lugbi JH, Asiri MA, Salem SE, et al. Incidence, knowledge, attitude and practice toward needle stick injury among health Care Workers in Abha City, Saudi Arabia. Public Health Front.2022 Feb 14;10: 771190.

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.771190.

 Afridi AA, Kumar A, Sayani R. Needle stick injuries

 risk and preventive factors: a study among health care workers in tertiary care hospitals in Pakistan. Glob J Health Sci. 2013 Jul; 5(4):85.

doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v5n4p85.

- Abd El-Hay SA. Prevention of needle stick and sharp injuries during clinical training among undergraduate nursing students: Effect of educational program. IOSR J Nurs Health Sci. 2015; 4(4):19-32.doi: 10.9790/1959-04471932.
- 20. Assen S, Wubshet M, Kifle M, Wubayehu T, Aregawi BG. Magnitude and associated factors of needle stick and sharps injuries among health care workers in Dessie City Hospitals, north east Ethiopia. BMC nursing. 2020 Dec; 19:1-8. doi: 10.1186/s12912-020-00422-0.
- 21. Amlak BT, Tesfa S, Tesfamichael B, Abebe H, Zewudie BT, Mewahegn AAet al. Needlestick and sharp injuries and its associated factors among healthcare workers in Southern Ethiopia. SAGE O p e n M e d . 2 0 2 3 J a n ; 1 1 . d o i : 10.1177/20503121221149536. eCollection 2023.
- 22. Alfulayw KH, Al-Otaibi ST, Alqahtani HA. Factors associated with needlestick injuries among healthcare workers: implications for prevention. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Dec; 21(1):1-8.doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-07110-y.
- 23. Fathizadeh H, Alirezaie Z, Saeed F, Saeed B, Gharibi Z, Biojmajd AR. Prevalence of needle stick and its related factors in Iranian health worker: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health. 2023;13. doi: 10.7189/jogh.13.04104.
- 24. Duzgol M, Aksay AK, Durgun E, Yaman Y, Demiray N, Gulfidan G, et al. Risk Groups for Needlestick Injury Among Healthcare Workers in Children's Hospital: A Cross-sectional Study. J Pediatr Infect.2020 Dec 1; 14(4). doi:10.5578/ced.202066.
- 25. Mohamud RY, Mohamed NA, Doğan A, Hilowle FM, Isse SA, Hassan MY, et al. Needlestick and Sharps Injuries Among Healthcare Workers at a Tertiary Care Hospital: A Retrospective Single-Center Study. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2023 Dec 31: 2281-9. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S434315.

Authors Contribution

BI, UA: Conceptualization of Project

- UA, MB: Data Collection
- AA: Literature Search
- AA: Statistical Analysis
- BI: Drafting, Revision
- RA: Writing of Manuscript