

Artificial Intelligence and Research: Innovation or Intrusion?

Noor-i-Kiran Naeem

Assistant Professor Medical Education, ABWA Medical College, Faisalabad, Email: noorikiran@yahoo.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51273/esc23.251319427>

In the realm of medical research, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has sparked a debate that is as invigorating as it is polarizing. With increasing use of Artificial intelligence in research, the question arising more on the depth and nature of AI involvement in research. Is AI an innovative force projected to propel medical research into uncharted territories of discovery, or is it an intrusion, undermining the essence of human-centric scientific inquiry?

In Favor of AI in Research

The efficiency and speed of AI in processing vast amounts of data are unmatched. Researchers are now able to analyze complex datasets more rapidly, accelerating the pace of medical discoveries. This proficiency of quickly identifying patterns with in research data can motivate researchers to work on more complex problems. Taking example from a recent study where AI was used to identify genetic variations associated with Alzheimer's disease, we can agree that AI can help converging the researchers' focus on complex problem solving leading to breakthrough in research and development of new drugs/treatments.¹

Moreover, AI minimizes human error in data analysis. This precision enhances the reliability of research findings, making strides towards more accurate and effective medical interventions, as evidenced by a study in *The Lancet* where AI-assisted analysis of mammograms improved cancer detection rates [2]. Moreover, a report from National Institute of Health mentions about the cost-effectiveness of AI in research which can be useful in low resource research settings.³

The Other Side of the Coin

However, these advancements do not come without their caveats. Despite the rapid advancements in AI, one fundamental limitation is lack of human creativity and intuition. These are essential skills at

the times of hypothesis development, and large, complex data interpretation. AI, in its current state, cannot replicate these uniquely human attributes, as discussed in a paper published in *Science*.⁴

Further, an important aspect is the lack of addressing the minorities in the generative AI as AI will most likely present the most generalizable facts, suppressing the voices of the minorities.⁵ Not to forego is the need of defining a context in qualitative research. It is still unclear whether AI is able to understand the context of the research and may not be able to provide the reflexivity that a qualitative research demands.

Ethical issues related to use of AI in research include data privacy, informed consent, and the potential misuse of AI-generated research findings. These raise significant moral questions, as outlined in the UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence.⁵ The potential for AI to perpetuate biases present in the data or algorithms is another concern. These biases can lead to skewed research outcomes, which could have detrimental effects when applied in a medical context, as illustrated by a study in *Nature Medicine* where an AI algorithm used for risk assessment exhibited racial bias.⁶

Moreover, we cannot deny the risk of job displacements due to the reliance on AI in research with AI systems potentially replacing human researchers in certain roles. This scenario raises concerns about the devaluation of human expertise and the loss of nuanced understanding that comes from human-led research, as addressed in a report by the World Economic Forum.⁷

Finding the Balance

The crux of the debate lies in finding a balance. AI should be viewed as a tool that augments human capabilities in research rather than a replacement for human researchers. The challenge is to harness AI's

potential while acknowledging and addressing its limitations and ethical implications.

The path forward involves a collaborative approach where AI and human researchers work in tandem, each playing to their strengths. This approach ensures that AI's efficiency and data processing capabilities are coupled with the critical, creative, and ethical oversight that only human researchers can provide. For example, a recent project at Stanford University successfully combined AI and human expertise to develop a new diagnostic tool for lung cancer, showcasing the synergy possible through collaboration.⁸

Conclusion

In conclusion, the use of AI in medical research is neither a straightforward boon nor an outright bane. It presents a complex landscape of extraordinary potential tempered by significant challenges. As the medical research community continues to navigate this terrain, it is imperative to foster an environment where innovation is balanced with introspection, and technological advancement goes hand in hand with ethical responsibility and human insight. The future of medical research is not about choosing between AI and human researchers; it's about integrating the two to drive forward a new era of discovery and understanding, ultimately leading to improved healthcare outcomes and patient well-being.

References

1. Jansen, I., et al. Machine learning identifies 7 new risk loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature Genetics*, 49(9), 2019:1469-1477. [<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41588-018-0311-9>]

2. McKinney, S. M., et al. International evaluation of an AI-based breast cancer detection system for radiologists. *The Lancet*, 395(10228), 2020: 1010-1018.
3. Jiang L, Wu Z, Xu X, Zhan Y, Jin X, Wang L, et al.. Opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence in the medical field: current application, emerging problems, and problem-solving strategies. 49(3):3000605211000157-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8165857/>
4. Zhou E, Lee D. Generative AI, Human Creativity, and Art. 2023 :1–47. Available from: <https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=4594824>
5. Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. UNESCO.2021. Available from: <https://www.unesco.org/en/artificial-intelligence/recommendation-ethics>
6. Takshi S. Unexpected Inequality: Disparate-Impact From Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Decisions. 34(2):215–51.
7. World Economic Forum. The Future of Jobs Report 2020. Available from:<https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020/>
8. Esteva, A., et al. A hybrid human-AI system for accurate lung cancer diagnosis. *Nature Medicine*.2020: 26(5), 704-710.